

Kathy L. Osborn (*pro hac vice*)  
Ryan M. Hurley (*pro hac vice*)  
FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP  
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
Telephone: +1 317-237-0300  
Facsimile: +1 317-237-1000  
kathy.osborn@FaegreBD.com  
ryan.hurley@FaegreBD.com

Calvin L. Litsey (SBN 289659)  
FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP  
1950 University Avenue, Suite 450  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2279  
Telephone: +1 650-324-6700  
Facsimile: +1 650-324-6701  
calvin.litsey@FaegreBD.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Thomson  
Consumer Electronics, Inc. and Thomson SA*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

No. 07-cv-5944-SC  
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi,  
Ltd., et. al., No. 13-cv-01173; and*

*Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Koninklijke  
Philips Electronics, N.V., No. 13-cv-2776.*

**DECLARATION OF STEPHEN M.  
JUDGE IN SUPPORT OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL  
RESPONSE OF DEFENDANTS  
THOMSON S.A., THOMSON  
CONSUMER ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., PHILIPS  
ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA  
CORPORATION, AND THE TOSHIBA  
DEFENDANTS IN OPPOSITION TO  
SHARP'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO  
EXCLUDE EVIDENCE RELATED TO  
THE ROLE OF SHARP COMPANIES IN  
THE TFT-LCD ANTITRUST  
LITIGATIONS**

Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti

1 I, Stephen M. Judge, hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am currently an attorney with the law firm Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, counsel  
 3 for Defendants, Technicolor SA (f/k/a Thomson SA) and Technicolor USA, Inc. (f/k/a Thomson  
 4 Consumer Electronics, Inc.) (together “Thomson Defendants”). I am admitted to practice law in  
 5 the States of Indiana and Maryland and in the District of Columbia, and am admitted to practice  
 6 *pro hac vice* before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I  
 7 make this declaration in support of the Response of Defendants Thomson S.A., Thomson  
 8 Consumer Electronics, Inc., Koninklijke Philips N.V., Philips Electronics North America  
 9 Corporation, and the Toshiba Defendants in Opposition to Sharp’s Motion in Limine to Exclude  
 10 Evidence Related to the Role of Sharp Companies in the TFT-LCD Antitrust Litigations (the  
 11 “Response”). The statements contained in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge  
 12 and, if called as a witness, I could competently testify to the following facts.

13 2. On June 18, 2008, the Court approved a “Stipulated Protective Order” in this  
 14 matter (Dkt. No. 306) (the “Protective Order”).

15 3. Pursuant to the Protective Order and Civil Local Rules 79-5(d) and 7-11, the  
 16 Thomson Defendants seek to seal the following concurrently filed materials:

- 17 a. The highlighted portions of the Response; and
- 18 b. Exhibits A, B, C, E, F, and G to the concurrently filed Declaration of  
 19 Stephen M. Judge in Support of the Response.

20 4. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d), this Court’s General Order No. 62,  
 21 Electronic Filing of Documents Under Seal, effective May 10, 2010, and the Protective Order, the  
 22 designated portions of the above-referenced documents and redacted portions thereof contain  
 23 either: (1) material designated by a Party pursuant to a Protective Order as “Confidential” or  
 24 “Highly Confidential”; or (b) analysis or, references to, or information taken directly from  
 25 material designated by a Party pursuant to the Protective Order as “Confidential” or “Highly  
 26 Confidential.”

5. The Thomson Defendants seek to submit these documents under seal in good faith in order to comply with the Stipulated Protective Order and this Court's Local Rules.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 27th day of February 2015, at South Bend, Indiana.

/s/ Stephen M. Judge